

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: Re Wording of Developers Application. Changing "Must be built . ." to "should be built"
Date: 03 February 2020 11:43:00

To whom it may concern,

The application to build this un-wanted monstrosity was made on the grounds that it would be a Strategic Development linking road and rail freight. Without rail access to the site it becomes just another industrial/storage estate spewing more and more heavy vehicles onto an already overloaded section of the not so "Smart" M6.

If the word 'MUST' is used then they must build the rail terminal.

Remember the only reason this development has been allowed to progress through these Planning channels is because it is a 'Strategic Rail Freight Interchange', without the rail terminal and connections it is not a Strategic development (SRFI) which is totally wrong.

"Should" does not cut it. We all have things that should be done, but we never get around to doing.

Kind regards

Peter Leathwood
Local Resident.